



*Pacific Unified  
School District*

March 22, 2002

The Honorable Robert O'Farrell  
Presiding Judge of the Superior Court  
P.O. Box 1819  
Salinas, CA 93902

Dear Judge O'Farrell:

Attached are the responses of our governing board, as required by Sections 933 and 933.5 of the California Penal Code, to Finding and Recommendations in the 2001 Monterey County Grand Jury Final Report dated January 2, 2002.

The responses were approved by the Pacific Unified School District Board of Trustees, our governing board, on April 10, 2002.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, which appears to read "John Smiley", is positioned above the printed name.

John Smiley, President  
Board of Trustees

Attachments: Response to Findings  
Response to Recommendations

GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT TITLED School Board Training  
 RESPONSE TO FINDINGS by Pacific Unified School District  
 [as required by Section 933.05(a) California Penal Code]

(Agency)

Finding # 1	Check One §933.05(a) (1)	(2)	Specify the portion of the Finding that is disputed and include an explanation of the reasons therefor
From Grand Jury Final Report	The respondent agrees with the Findings	The respondent disagrees wholly or partially with Finding: see next column	CONTINUE ON ATTACHED SHEETS AS NECESSARY
✓	✓		

GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT TITLED School Board Training  
 RESPONSE TO FINDINGS by Pacific Unified School District  
 [as required by Section 933.05(a) California Penal Code]

(Agency)

Finding # 2	Check One §933.05(a) (1) (2)		Specify the portion of the Finding that is disputed and include an explanation of the reasons therefor.
From Grand Jury Final Report	The respondent agrees with the Findings	The respondent disagrees wholly or partially with Finding. see next column	CONTINUE ON ATTACHED SHEETS AS NECESSARY
✓	✓		

GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT TITLE School Board Training  
 RECOMMENDATIONS by Pacific Unified School District  
 [as required by Section 933.05(b) California Penal Code] (Agency)

Rec. #	Check One §933.05(b)				Time frame, explanation, summary (attached), etc
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
From Grand Jury Final Report	Has been implemented, include summary of action	Will be implemented, include time frame	Requires further analysis, include time frame	Will not be implemented, include explanation	CONTINUE ON ATTACHED SHEETS AS NECESSARY
✓		✓			Will be implemented as an expense item in the 2002/2003 budget. Training to include all board members attending CSBA Conference in December, 02, San Francisco.

GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT TITLE: School Board Training  
 RESPONSE TO RECOMMENDATIONS by Pacific Unified School District  
 [as required by Section 933.05(b) California Penal Code] (Agency)

Rec. #	Check One §933.05(b)				Time frame, explanation, summary (attached), etc
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
2					
From Grand Jury Final Report	Has been implemented, include summary of action	Will be implemented, include time frame	Requires further analysis, include time frame	Will not be implemented, include explanation	CONTINUE ON ATTACHED SHEETS AS NECESSARY
✓	✓	✓			<p>We have had some training thus far as indicated on the questionnaire.</p> <p>We will implement the recommendations by our attendance at the annual CSBA Conference and other available trainings provided by our legal counsel and Monterey County Office of Education.</p>

GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT TITLE: School Board Training  
 RELEVANT TO RECOMMENDATIONS by Pacific Unified School District  
 [as required by Section 933.05(b) California Penal Code] (Agency)

Rec #	Check One §933.05(b)				Time frame, explanation, summary (attached), etc.
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
From Grand Jury Final Report	Has been implemented, include summary of action	Will be implemented, include time frame	Requires further analysis, include time frame	Will not be implemented, include explanation	CONTINUE ON ATTACHED SHEETS AS NECESSARY
✓		✓			We plan on attending available trainings provided by Monterey County Office of Education.

GRAND JURY FINAL REPORT, 2001-2002 School Board Training  
 REPLY TO RECOMMENDATIONS by Pacific Unified School District  
 [as required by Section 933.05(b) California Penal Code] (Agency)

Rec #	Check One §933.05(b)				Time frame, explanation, summary (attached), etc.
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
4					
From Grand Jury Final Report	Has been implemented, include summary of action	Will be implemented, include time frame	Requires further analysis, include time frame	Will not be implemented, include explanation	CONTINUE ON ATTACHED SHEETS AS NECESSARY
✓	✓	✓			We have attended trainings provided by CSBA and we plan to attend the trainings at the December, 2002, conference.

**QUESTIONNAIRE FOR SCHOOL BOARD PRESIDENTS  
WITHIN MONTEREY COUNTY**

SCHOOL DISTRICT NAME: Pacific Unified

1. Has your School Board received any formal training in the last two years?

Yes ☒ No ☐ If yes, please answer # 2 below.

2. Please list the training indicating whether it was an individual or group training, the subject, where the training took place, who did the training, and the approximate cost.

Individual/ Group	Subject	Where	When	By Whom	Approx. Cost
Group	Brown Act	Dist. Office	Nov, 01	Tom Griffin Dist. Counsel	\$ 250.00
Individual	CA Ed Code, Brown Act	CSBA Center	Dec, 01	CSBA	\$ 1200.00
Group	Curr & Instruct	Boards Dinner	May 01	MCOE	\$ 200.00
Individual	New Board Mem. Training	MCOE	Dec, 01	MCOE	\$ 50.00

(If additional space needed, please attach separate page.)

3. Are there additional training areas or subjects you would like to see your Board receive? If so, please list.

Brown Act  
Team Building  
Cooperative Boardmanship

4. Do you feel it is important for Board Members, particularly new Board Members, to receive specialized training? If so, list in order of importance the three or four most important areas:

CA Ed. Code & Legislation  
Brown Act  
Budget Mgt.  
Parliamentary Procedure

5. Is budget provided for training of Board Members?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If yes, how much is budgeted for 2001? No set amount but as needs arose above

Signature: John Smiley

Typed name: John Smiley - President